

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LARRY BESS, JR.,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2498 GEB DAD P

12 vs.

13 EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, JR., et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 On August 29, 2007, the undersigned issued an order and findings and  
17 recommendations in the above-entitled action recommending that defendants' motion for  
18 summary judgment be granted in part and denied in part. Any objections to the findings and  
19 recommendations were to be filed by September 13, 2007. On September 12, 2007 defendants  
20 filed a request for an extension of time to September 27, 2007 in which to file objections  
21 pursuant to the court's August 29, 2007 order and findings and recommendations. In the  
22 declaration filed in support of the request defendants' counsel represents, among other things,  
23 that she has been diligently conducting additional research which will aid the assigned district  
24 judge in his review of the findings and recommendations.

25 Normally, the undersigned would not hesitate in granting a request for an  
26 extension of time in which to file objections particularly in light of the issues raised by the



1 motion for summary judgment in this case. However, the purpose of filing objections to a  
2 magistrate judge's findings and recommendations is merely to identify the portions of the  
3 findings and recommendations to which objection is made and the basis of the objection. The  
4 district judge will conduct a de novo review of all portions of the findings and recommendations  
5 to which objections are made. The purpose of such objections is not to provide the parties an  
6 opportunity to conduct additional research and present new arguments that were not included in  
7 the motion heard by the assigned magistrate judge. Accordingly, the court will grant defendants'  
8 request for an extension of time only in part. The court will forward the August 29, 2007 order  
9 and findings and recommendations as well as any objections filed by September 24, 2007 to the  
10 assigned district judge for his review.

11 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. Defendants' September 12, 2007 request for an extension of time is granted in  
13 part;

14 2. Defendants shall file any objections to the pending findings and  
15 recommendations by September 24, 2007; and

16 3. Any request for additional time in which to file the objections should be  
17 directed to the assigned district judge.

18 DATED: September 17, 2007.

19  
20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22 DAD:9  
23 bess2498.36d  
24  
25  
26